

# ***APPENDIX E***

---

---

*Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment  
Facility Negative Declaration*

# Notice of Completion

State of California  
Office of Planning and Research  
1400 Tenth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment Facility

**Project Title**

North side of Morrison Road, west of Highway 65, Yuba County, CA

**Project Location - Specific**

Yuba County

**Project Location - City**

**Project Location - County**

**Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project**

The wastewater treatment facility will serve the Yuba County Motorplex, Amphitheater and related uses.

Yuba County

**Lead Agency**

Community Development: Planning

**Division**

938 14<sup>th</sup> Street, Marysville, CA 95901

**Address Where Copy of initial study is Available**

Comment Due by February 8, 2000

**Review Period**

Peter Calarco, Principal Planner

**Contact Person**

(530) 741-6419

**Area Code/Phone/Extension**

# Notice of Completion

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, 1400 10th St, Sacramento, CA 95814 916/445-0613

SCH# 99112096

1. Project Title: Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment Facility  
2. Lead Agency: Yuba County Community Development Department 3. Contact Person: Peter Calarco  
3.a. Street Address: 938 14th Street 3.b. City: Marysville, CA  
3.c. County: Yuba 3.d. Zip: 95901 3.e. Phone: (530) 741-6419

## Project Location

4. County: Yuba County 4a. City/Community Unincorporated Yuba County  
4b. Assessor's Parcel No. 14-280-068 4c. Section portion 23 & 24 Twp. 14 N. Range: 4 E. Base: MDB&M  
5a. Cross Streets: Morrison Rd & Hwy 65 5b. For Rural, Nearest Community: Olivehurst  
6. Within 2 miles: a. State Hwy# 65 b. Airports: \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Railways: \_\_\_\_\_ d. Waterways: \_\_\_\_\_

## 7. Document Type

### CEQA:

01. NOP  
 02. Early Consultation  
 03. Neg Dec  
 04. Draft EIR  
 05. Supplement/Subsequent EIR  
(prior SCH# \_\_\_\_\_)  
 06. NOE  
 07. NOC  
 08. NOD

### NEPA

09. NOI  
 10. FONSI  
 11. Draft EIS  
 12. EA

### Other

13. Joint Document  
 14. Final Document  
 15. Other \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. Local Action Type

01. General Plan Update  
 02. New Element  
 03. General Plan Amendment  
 04. Master Plan  
 05. Annexation  
 06. Specific Plan  
 07. Community Plan  
 08. Redevelopment  
 09. Rezone  
 10. Land Division (Subdivision,  
Parcel Map, Tract Map, etc.)  
 11. Use Permit  
 12. Waste Mgmt Plan  
 13. Cancel Ag Preserve  
 14. Other Building Permit

## 9. Development Type

01. Residential: Units \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  
 02. Office: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  
 03. Shopping/Commercial: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_  
Acres \_\_\_\_\_ Employees \_\_\_\_\_  
 04. Industrial: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  
Employees \_\_\_\_\_  
 05. Water Facilities: Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
MGD \_\_\_\_\_  
 06. Transportation: Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 07. Mining: Mineral \_\_\_\_\_  
 08. Power: Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 09. Waste Treatment: Type: aerated  
pond and reuse by irrigation  
MGD 0.17 average  
 10. OCS Related \_\_\_\_\_  
 11. Other \_\_\_\_\_

10. Total Acres: 44 acres

11. Total Jobs Created: \_\_\_\_\_

## 12. Project Issues Discussed in Document:

- |   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 01. Aesthetic/Visual          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 09. Geologic/Seismic | <input type="checkbox"/> 17. Social                         | <input type="checkbox"/> 25. Wetland/Riparian             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 02. Agricultural Land         | <input type="checkbox"/> 10. Jobs/Housing Balance        | <input type="checkbox"/> 18. Soil Erosion                   | <input type="checkbox"/> 26. Wildlife                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 03. Air Quality               | <input type="checkbox"/> 11. Minerals                    | <input type="checkbox"/> 19. Solid Waste                    | <input type="checkbox"/> 27. Growth Inducing              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 04. Archeological/ Historical | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12. Noise            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20. Toxic/Hazardous     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 28. Incompatible Land |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 05. Coastal Zone                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 13. Public Services  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 21. Traffic/Circulation | <u>Use</u>  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 06. Economic                             | <input type="checkbox"/> 14. Schools                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 22. Vegetation          | <input type="checkbox"/> 29. Cumulative Effects           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 07. Fire Hazard                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 15. Septic Systems              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 23. Water Quality       | <input type="checkbox"/> 30. Other                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 08. Flooding/Drainage         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 16. Sewer Capacity   | <input type="checkbox"/> 24. Water Supply                   |   |

13. Funding (approx.): Federal \$ 0 State \$ 0 Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
The applicant is funding the construction of the wastewater treatment facility.

## 14. Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation

Sports and Entertainment land use and Sports/Entertainment (S/E) zoning

## 15. Project Description

A request to construct a sewer treatment facility to serve the Yuba County Motorplex, Amphitheater and related uses.

16. Signature of Lead Agency Representative:  Date: 1/13/00

# Reviewing Agencies

- Resources Agency
- Boating and Waterways
- Conservation
- Fish and Game
- Forestry
- Colorado River Board
- Department Water Resources
- Reclamation
- Parks and Recreation
- Office of Historic Preservation
- Native American Heritage
- S.F. Bay Cons. And Dev't Commission
- Coastal Commission
- Energy Commission
- State Lands Commission
- Air Resources Board
- Solid Waste Management Board
- SWRCB: Sacramento
- RWQCB: Region 5
- Water Rights
- Water Quality

- CALTRANS District # 3
- Department of Transportation Planning
- Aeronautics
- California Highway Patrol
- Housing and Community Development
- Statewide Health Planning
- Health
- Food and Agriculture
- Public Utilities Commission
- Public Works
- Corrections
- General Services
- OLA
- Santa Monica Mountains
- TRPA
- OPR-OLGA
- OPR-Coastal
- Bureau of Land Management
- Forest Service
- Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

✓ These materials have also been sent to the following local agencies: OPUD, Plumas-Brophy Fire District, County Environmental Health, Public Works, South Yuba Water District, FRAQMD, NRCS and Agricultural Commissioner.

**For SCH Use Only:**

Date Received at SCH _____	Catalog Number _____
Date Review Starts _____	Applicant _____
Date to Agencies _____	Consultant _____
Date to SCH _____	Contact _____ Phone _____
Clearance Date _____	Address _____

Notes:

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

*Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment Facility*

(EA 99-03)

Prepared for consideration by:

Yuba County Board of Supervisors:

Brent Hastey, Chair

Al Amaro

Don Schrader

Bill Simmons

Hal Stocker

and

Presentation to:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Prepared by:

Yuba County Community Development Department

938 14<sup>th</sup> Street

Marysville, CA 95901

(530) 741-6419

Contact: Peter Calarco, Principal Planner

Draft version: January 13, 2000

Draft NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment Facility  
CH2M HILL/Yuba County Motorplex, LLC  
(SCH # 99112096)

INTRODUCTION

This document contains the results of the initial study including staff and other agency review. It has been prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code 21000) and the State CEQA Guidelines. Comments regarding the attached information may be submitted to the Community Development Department to the attention of Peter Calarco, Principal Planner at the address below. The public comment opened January 14, 2000 and will continue up to the Board of Supervisors hearing on this issue scheduled for February 8, 2000.

CEQA Guidelines section 15051(b) states that the public agency with the greatest responsibility for supervising or approving the project as a whole shall be the lead agency. Guidelines section 15051(d) does provide for an agreement between two agencies. It appeared to County staff, based upon early review, that the State has the most approval authority over a wastewater treatment facility and discharge permit. However, the State Regional Water Quality Control Board staff requested that the document be prepared at the local level. County staff agreed to this request. The results of the local review are included in this document. The project applicant will present this document to the State for permitting review.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST INFORMATION

Project title: Yuba County Motorplex Wastewater Treatment Facility  
Lead agency name and address: Community Development Department, 938 14th Street, Marysville, CA 95901  
Contact person and phone number: Peter Calarco, Principal Planner: (530) 741-6419  
Project location: The Wastewater Treatment will be located to the south of the intersection of State Highway 65 and Forty Mile Road, to the east of Forty Mile Road and south of Highway 65, approximately 4 miles northwest of the City of Wheatland in Yuba County on Assessor's Parcel 014-280-068.

Project sponsor's name and address: Yuba County Motorplex, L.L.C., 16590 Aston Street, Irvine, CA 92714.  
Engineer: Steven DeCou, CH2MHill, 2485 Natomas Park Dr, Ste 600, Sacramento, CA 95833-2937.  
General plan designation: Sports and Entertainment  
Zoning: Sports/Entertainment (S/E)

8. Description of project: The proposed project is for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities to accommodate wastewater generated by sports and entertainment facilities and industrial/commercial facilities located on approximately 900 acres of land in Yuba County. The sports and entertainment facilities include an amphitheater, motor speedway, drag strip and road course. In addition to these facilities, the project is designed to handle the 77 acres of commercial and industrial development on an adjacent parcel, and motels, restaurants and other commercial facilities located on the site. Wastewater generation estimates are based on the use of all of these facilities at full buildout. Two alternatives were reviewed to meet the wastewater treatment requirements; one, construction of on-site treatment and reuse facilities, and, two, connection to the Olivehurst Public Utility District sewage treatment plant. A copy of the "Wastewater Management Alternatives for the Yuba County Motorplex/Engineering Report of Waste Discharge" prepared by CH2M Hill is attached, which evaluates the two alternatives. The report recommends the construction of on-site facilities and the developers have selected this as their preferred alternative.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting:

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING: The project site is located along the eastern edge of the Sacramento Valley at an elevation of approximately 70 feet above mean sea level and is primarily level. The project site was previously utilized for agriculture and has been extensively graded and leveled, which has removed all of the native vegetation and modified the natural drainage.

Regional Drainage Pattern: The project site is located on alluvium formed by deposits associated with the Feather and Yuba Rivers collecting watersheds of the northwest Sierra Nevada Mountains. The Bear River and minor tributaries drain portions of the adjacent Sierra Nevada Foothills and low alluvial fan terraces. Both the Yuba and Bear River flow to the Feather River, which in turn flows to the Sacramento River and ultimately through the Delta to the Pacific Ocean at San Francisco.

Local Drainage Pattern: The local area slopes in a southwesterly direction at a 0.1 to 0.5 percent gradient. Two small tributaries cross through or near the project site, Kimball Creek to the north and Best Slough to the south. These natural drainage ways collect drainage from farm land east of the project to the foothills.

Geology: The project site is located in an area covered by large alluvial fans of the Riverbank Formation that appear to bury older alluvial fans of the Turlock Lake, Laguna and Mehrten Formations. The nearest active fault to Yuba County is the Cleveland Hill fault, which was the source of the 1975 Oroville earthquake, Richter Magnitude 5.7. The Foothill Fault System, located in Butte and Yuba counties, was studied following the 1975

roville earthquake and is considered capable of seismic activity, but the activity is estimated to have a very long recurrence interval and a very low slip rate. The Foothill Fault System has not yet been classified as active and special seismic zoning was determined not to be necessary by the California Division of Mines and Geology (Yuba County General Plan, Volume 1).

oils: The United States Soil Conservation Service study of Yuba County places the soils of the project vicinity within the San Joaquin Soil Association, which is described as moderately deep, well drained alluvial soils that have a dense clay subsoil on low fan areas. This soil association is classified as Capability Class IV, which means it has severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants, require very careful management, or both.

and Use: The project site is located in an unincorporated area of Yuba County adjacent to the south side of State Highway 65, along the east side of Forty Mile Road. The project site is surrounded on all sides by unincorporated territory, with the City of Wheatland located approximately four miles to the southeast and the unincorporated community of Olivehurst located one and one-half mile to the west. The lands adjacent to the project site to the west and south are designated exclusive agricultural lands, and the properties to the north and east are designated general industrial. The designated industrial properties are within the Sphere of Influence of the Olivehurst Public Utility District (OPUD), but no infrastructure is in place at this time.

biological Resources: Foothill Associates prepared the "Biotic Assessment Yuba County Racetrack Site" in June 1997. This assessment required that a formal wetland delineation be prepared prior to construction within the project area. The delineation for the amphitheater has been completed and no wetlands were identified on the site. The delineation for the racetrack property has been submitted to the Corps of Engineers and is in the process of being reviewed. The proposed site for the sewage treatment facilities is located away from any wetland sites identified by this study. No other biological resources were identified within the vicinity of the sewage treatment facilities.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors listed below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages. None - see discussion within the checklist as it relates to the previously prepared environmental documents.

#### DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.



Peter Calarco, Principal Planner

January 13, 2000

Date

Issues: Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?
- d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:

- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?
- c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

III. AIR QUALITY -- Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?
- b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?

Issues: Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

- c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
- d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?
- c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?



Issues:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

- b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?
- c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?
- d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1997), creating substantial risks to life or property?
- e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:

- a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
- b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
- c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
- d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

Issues:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

- a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in section 15064.5?
- b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to section 15064.5?
- c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?
- d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:

- a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
  - i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.
  - ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?
  - iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?
  - iv) Landslides?

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY – Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues:

- d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site??
- e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?
- f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?
- g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?
- h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?
- i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?
- j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues: Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

- a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?
- b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

XI. NOISE - Would the project result in:

- a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
- c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?
- f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:

- a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES

- a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:
  - Fire protection?
  - Police protection?
  - Schools?
  - Parks?
  - Other public facilities?

XIV. RECREATION -

- a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?
- b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

Issues: Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:

- a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?
- b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?
- c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?
- d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
- e) Result in inadequate emergency access?
- f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?
- g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:

- a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?
- b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

Issues: Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

- c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
- e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
- f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?
- g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE --

- a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

Issues:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

e) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

**AESTHETICS.** Refer to the project description specifically the Environmental Setting section. The project is consistent with Measure 'R' and related general plan policies. The proposed project does not impact a scenic resource as identified in the General Plan Open Space and Conservation Element. State Route 65 is not a designated scenic corridor. (GP B&S pg 12-15)

**I. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES:** The property is not designated Prime Farmland or The land use designation of the property is Sports and Entertainment. The 1998 Important Farmlands Map prepared by the Department of Conservation has designated the property Unique Farmland. Unique Farmland is "land used for production of the State's major crops on soils not qualifying for Prime or Statewide Importance. This land is usually irrigated, but may include nonirrigated fruits and vegetables as found in some climatic zones in California."

The project will not convert the entire parcel. A portion of the property will be used for an irrigated crop. Irrigation will come from the treated wastewater and supplemented as necessary. The area required for irrigation is approximately 22 acres. This will be used for a perennial grass hay crop. A custom contract farmer will be retained to harvest the hay four to six times annually. The aeration ponds and storage pond cover approximately 8.6 acres (PD). The impact is not considered significant.

**II. AIR QUALITY.** If required, the applicant will be required to obtain an "Authority to Construct" permit from the Feather River Air Quality Management District. The Building Department sends the permit application to FRAQMD for review. FRAQMD will determine on a building permit basis if their permitting procedures are triggered.

Under the circumstances of a long-term power failure, there is a potential of effluent loading in the aeration cells. Prolonged power failure may result in additional odor that is not part of a normal operation. However, if there was a prolonged power failure, the events would be curtailed or canceled thus reducing wastewater generation. Since there are few receptors in the area and the remote likelihood of a prolonged power failure during a major event, the impact is considered less than significant. (PD), (EC).

**IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.** See Biological Resources section of the project description including the reference to the "Biotic Assessment Yuba County Racetrack Site" prepared by Foothill Associates, June 1997. No impact.

**V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.** There are no sites listed in Environmental Setting and Background document of the General Plan (Volume 1). The site has been used for grazing and other agricultural activities including mechanical leveling. The surface conditions have been modified and leveled in recent history for agricultural purposes. The site does not meet the criteria for Guidelines 15064.5. (PD), (EC), (FS), (AP #24, 6/13/88).

**VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.** The building permit applications for structures have not been submitted. However, CH2M Hill has prepared a soils study to submit to the SRWQCB. In that draft document (page 6), the soil type is described as "moderately expansive." A standard building permit review process and plan check (either by the State or at the local level depending upon type of structure) will require foundation designs prepared by an engineer to adequately address the soil conditions.

**VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.** If materials are stored on site, then the applicant shall provide a inventory and response plan to the Office of Emergency Services.

**VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.** The property is not within the 100-year flood level. It is referenced as Flood zone 'C' on the FEMA Flood zone map 060427-0400, effective date September 15, 1983. The holding ponds have been designed to contain both wastewater and a 100-year storm event (PD).

A letter prepared by Wendy Wyels, California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB), Central Valley Region (dated January 12, 2000) addresses some of the construction standards required by law. Ms. Wyels indicates that the waste water ponds are to maintain a 500-foot setback from domestic wells and that the Dept. of Health services has requested revisions to the discharge methods. These and other requirements will be dictated by the discharge permit process as required by the CRWQCB and other related state agencies. These agencies will determine the applicable regulatory standards in order to maintain adequate health and safety prior to approving the discharge permit application. No further mitigation is required.

**IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING.** The land use designation is Sports and Entertainment which permits an on-site sewer treatment facility. Section 12.83.030(4) of the Yuba County Ordinance Code requires that sewer treatment system be constructed in compliance with applicable standards. Measure 'R' considers the land use compatibility issue within the text of the measure. In particular, Section 2(A)(2) states: Locating the

raceway and related facilities at the junction of 40 mile Road and State Route 65 serves the public interest because that site is located close to the intersection of two highways with a connecting crossroad and an existing highway interchange. Further, Section 2(A)(3) states: Although the site is located adjacent to a state highway, it is also located in an agricultural area, which minimizes the potential for conflict between surrounding land uses and the sports center, entertainment facility, golf course and accompanying area. This impact is considered less than significant.

**MINERAL RESOURCES.** The property is not within an area of known or suspected mineral resources. Refer to the General Plan Open Space and Conservation Element. The property does not have a mineral resource designation by the State Geologist.

**NOISE.** The sewer treatment facility is not expected to generate substantial sources of noise in the area. There are few components to the facility most of which do not generate noise.

**POPULATION AND HOUSING.** The sewer treatment facility would serve future projects of the motorplex, amphitheater and related uses as provided by Measure R.

**PUBLIC SERVICES.** Such a facility is required under section 12.83.030(4) of the Yuba County Ordinance Code.

**RECREATION.** The project will serve a major entertainment facility and related uses as provided by Measure R.

**TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.** The sewer treatment facility will not generate additional sources of traffic. Periodic trips from maintenance vehicles and other related traffic will result, however, it is not considered to be an impact.

**UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.** The applicant is required to obtain all necessary permits from the Regional Water Quality Board in order to operate a wastewater treatment facility. This would also include a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The applicant is required to comply with all of the state health and safety standards pertinent to operation of such a facility including separation from property lines and wells and irrigation methods. This would be accomplished through the state permitting and reporting procedures.

**REFERENCES (SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES)**

- (AP) Air Photo from a series available at the Planning Department.
- (FSR) Chapter 11.22 and 11.15, Yuba County Ordinance Code, "Fire Safe Regulations".
- (DFG) Yuba County Community Services Department, "Final Environmental Impact Report on the Cumulative Impacts of Rural Residential Development on Migratory Deer In Yuba County", April 5, 1985.
- (EC) Early consultation letter dated December 22, 1999. Affected agencies were contacted and no impacts were identified.
- (FEMA) Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Rate Map, Yuba County, Community-Panel Numbers.
- (FS) Field Surveys.
- (GPC) County of Yuba, Planning Department, "Circulation Element of the General Plan", December 1996.
- (GPH) County of Yuba, Department of Planning and Building Services, "Housing Element", December 1991 as amended.
- (GPLU) Yuba County Planning Department, "Land Use Element, Yuba County General Plan", December 1996.
- (GPN) Yuba County Planning Department, "Noise Element of the General Plan", August 26, 1980 as amended.
- (GPCE) Yuba County General Plan Circulation Element, December 1996.
- (GPOSC) "Yuba County General Plan Open Space and Conservation Element", December 1996.
- (GPS) Yuba County Planning Department, "Seismic Safety and Safety Elements of the Yuba County General Plan", August 26, 1980 as amended.
- (NO) Chapter 8.20, Yuba County Ordinance Code, "Noise Regulations".
- (PLSP) Plumas Lake Specific Plan, September 21, 1993 and EIR (SCH #92072070).
- (PLWT) Plumas Lake Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility EIR (SCH # 91102056).
- (SVSP) Spring Valley Specific Plan, February 11, 1992
- (PD) Project Description
- (SCS) Herbert, Fred W. and Eugene L. Begg. "Soils of the Yuba Area, California." June 1969. University of California, Davis.
- (USGS) United States Geological Survey, 7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map
- (ZO) County of Yuba, Title XII, "Zoning Ordinance", December 6, 1983 as amended.



APPLICATION/REPORT OF WASTE DISCHARGE  
GENERAL INFORMATION FORM FOR  
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS OR NPDES PERMIT



I. FACILITY INFORMATION

A. Facility:

Name: Yuba County Motorplex, L.L.C.			
Address: 2793 Forty Mile Road			
City: Marysville	County: Yuba	State: CA	Zip Code: 95901
Contact Person: Allan Chapman		Telephone Number: (530) 741-3100 or (847) 459-4250	

B. Facility Owner:

Name: Yuba County Motorplex, L.L.C.			Owner Type (Check One)	
Address: 2793 Forty Mile Road			1. <input type="checkbox"/> Individual	2. <input type="checkbox"/> Corporation
City: Marysville	State: CA	Zip Code: 95901	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Governmental Agency	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership Agency
Contact Person: Allan Chapman			5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Limited Liability Company	
		Telephone Number: same as "A"	Federal Tax ID: 36 422-54-53	

C. Facility Operator (The agency or business, not the person):

Name: SAME AS OWNER			Operator Type (Check One)	
Address:			1. <input type="checkbox"/> Individual	2. <input type="checkbox"/> Corporation
City:	State:	Zip Code:	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Governmental Agency	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership Agency
Contact Person:			5. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
		Telephone Number:		

D. Owner of the Land:

Name: SAME AS OWNER			Owner Type (Check One)	
Address:			1. <input type="checkbox"/> Individual	2. <input type="checkbox"/> Corporation
City:	State:	Zip Code:	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Governmental Agency	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership Agency
Contact Person:			5. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
		Telephone Number:		

E. Address Where Legal Notice May Be Served:

Address: 16590 Aston Street			
City: Irvine	State: CA	Zip Code: 92714	
Contact Person: Allan Chapman		Telephone Number: (530) 741-3100 or (847) 459-4250	

F. Billing Address:

Address: 16590 Aston Street			
City: Irvine	State: CA	Zip Code: 92714	
Contact Person: Allan Chapman		Telephone Number: (530) 741-3100 or (847) 459-4250	



APPLICATION/REPORT OF WASTE DISCHARGE GENERAL INFORMATION FORM FOR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS OR NPDES PERMIT



II. TYPE OF DISCHARGE

Check Type of Discharge(s) Described in this Application (A or B):

[X] A. WASTE DISCHARGE TO LAND

[ ] B. WASTE DISCHARGE TO SURFACE WATER

Check all that apply:

[X] Domestic/Municipal Wastewater Treatment and Disposal

[ ] Cooling Water

[ ] Mining

[ ] Waste Pile

[ ] Wastewater Reclamation

[ ] Other, please describe:

[ ] Animal Waste Solids

[ ] Land Treatment Unit

[ ] Dredge Material Disposal

[ ] Surface Impoundment

[ ] Industrial Process Wastewater

[ ] Animal or Aquacultural Wastewater

[X] Biosolids/Residual

[ ] Hazardous Waste (see instructions)

[ ] Landfill (see instructions)

[ ] Storm Water

III. LOCATION OF THE FACILITY

Describe the physical location of the facility.

1. Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Facility: 14-280-68  
Discharge Point: 14-280-68

2. Latitude

Facility: 39° 02' 37"  
Discharge Point: 39° 02' 37"

3. Longitude

Facility: 121° 29' 30"  
Discharge Point: 121° 29' 30"

IV. REASON FOR FILING

[X] New Discharge or Facility

[ ] Changes in Ownership/Operator (see instructions)

[ ] Change in Design or Operation

[ ] Waste Discharge Requirements Update or NPDES Permit Reissuance

[ ] Change in Quantity/Type of Discharge [ ] Other:

V. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)

Name of Lead Agency: County of Yuba

Has a public agency determined that the proposed project is exempt from CEQA? [X] Yes [ ] No

If Yes, state the basis for the exemption and the name of the agency supplying the exemption on the line below.

Basis for Exemption/Agency: Section 15378 Subsection (b) (4) of CEQA Guidelines

Has a "Notice of Determination" been filed under CEQA? [ ] Yes [X] No

If Yes, enclose a copy of the CEQA document, Environmental Impact Report, or Negative Declaration. If no, identify the expected type of CEQA document and expected date of completion.

Expected CEQA Documents:

[ ] EIR [ ] Negative Declaration

Expected CEQA Completion Date: completed January 27, 1998





APPLICATION/REPORT OF WASTE DISCHARGE GENERAL INFORMATION FORM FOR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS OR NPDES PERMIT

VI. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION

Please provide a COMPLETE characterization of your discharge. A complete characterization includes, but is not limited to, a list of constituents and the discharge concentration of each constituent, a list of other appropriate waste discharge characteristics, a description and schematic drawing of all treatment processes, a description of any Best Management Practices (BMPs) used, and a description of disposal methods. Also include a site map showing the location of the facility and, if you are submitting this application for an NPDES permit, identify the surface water to which you propose to discharge. Please try to limit your maps to a scale of 1:24,000 (7.5' USGS Quadrangle) or a street map, if more appropriate.

VII. OTHER

Attach additional sheets to explain any responses which need clarification. List attachments with titles and dates below:

"Wastewater Management Alternatives for the Yuba County Motorplex/ Engineering Report of Waste Discharge" prepared by CH2M Hill August 13, 1999

You will be notified by a representative of the RWQCB within 30 days of receipt of your application. The notice will state if your application is complete or if there is additional information you must submit to complete your Application/Report of Waste Discharge, pursuant to Division 7, Section 13260 of the California Water Code.

VIII. CERTIFICATION

"I certify under penalty of law that this document, including all attachments and supplemental information, were prepared under my direction and supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment."

Print Name: Allan Chapman Title: Authorized Representative Signature: [Signature] Date: August 16, 1999

Print Name: Frank Arciero Title: Authorized Representative Signature: [Signature] Date: August 16, 1999

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Table with 4 columns: Date Form 200 Received, Letter to Discharger, Fee Amount Received, Check #.